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#### Abstract

In this work, we report on a new evaluation of metal oxide based on carbon dioxide sensors, using barium titanate nano-powder. The sensing principle is based on a change in conductance of semiconducting oxides when carbon dioxide is present. The sensitive layer was deposited on a $\mathbf{S i O}_{2} / \mathbf{S i}$ substrate by screen printing technology. The sensor responses were studied between 100 and 5000 ppm of carbon dioxide in the air with $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ relative humidity. The sensor presents good sensitivity toward carbon dioxide, with a stable baseline, and fast response and recovery time. These results are promising for carbon dioxide sensing.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Carbon dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ is one of the main gases responsible for the greenhouse effect and, consequently, the global warming trends. Hence, its monitoring is subject of a major societal challenge. With an outdoors concentration up to 500 ppm in urban areas, the ventilation balance is affected and the development of reliable low-cost $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ sensors at multiple sites becomes an industrial strategy. Nowadays, the most commonly used method to detect $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is based on optical sensors. Despite their efficiency in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ detection, these technologies are expensive, have high electric consumption and are not fully miniaturized. Metal oxide gas sensors show potential features such as low-cost, mass production, miniaturization, fast response and recovery times.

In 1991, Ishihara et al. [1] first proposed a composite material based on p and n -type semiconductors, by mixing copper oxide $(\mathrm{CuO})$ and barium titanate $\left(\mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}\right)$ powders. In 2001, Liao et al. [2] showed that pure CuO and pure $\mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}$ gave no response to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Since then, these pure materials have been definitively abandoned and only composites have been studied. But, the sensors of Liao et al. [2] were in the very basic form of large discs of sintered powders with unknown granularity, connected by Ag paste electrodes. Thus, we propose herein a new evaluation of $\mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}$ based $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ sensors.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In Section II, we describe our approach based on $\mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}$ nano-powder deposition on platinum interdigitated electrodes by screen printing, a low cost, and an easily used technique. Then, in Section III, the sensing results are discussed based on a change in conductance of $\mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}$ when $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ are introduced.

Finally, a conclusion is given in Section IV.

## II. DESCRIPTION OF APPROACH AND TECHNIQUES

This description is composed of two parts; one is the sensing film fabrication; the other is the measurement system set-up.

## A. Gas sensors

Our gas sensor is made of $\mathrm{Ti} / \mathrm{Pt}$ interdigitated electrodes ( 5 and 100 nm , respectively) deposited on $\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ by magnetron sputtering. $\mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}$ thick films were deposited by screen printing on these electrodes to produce a $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ sensitive layer. $\mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}$ nano-powder ( $<100 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was mixed with glycerol ( 1.5 g ) and screen printed on $\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ substrate with interdigitated platinum electrodes spaced by $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Figure 1).


Figure 1. Sample image of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} / \mathrm{Si}$ substrate $\left(4 \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}\right)$ with platinum electrodes (bottom) and the final sensor with the $\mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}$ thick film (top).

The deposited film was annealed at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a hotplate, in ambient air. The film structure was determined by X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) with a Philip's X'Pert MPD equipment ( $\lambda=1.54 \AA$ ).

## B. Setup

0.1 V DC voltage was applied to the sample while the electrical resistance was monitored by a homemade LabVIEW program using a Keithley Model 2450 Source Measure Unit (SMU) Instrument (Keithley, U.S.A.). Dry air (no humidity) was used as both the reference and the carrier gas. A gas dilution and humidification system generates an output mixture at the target $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations ( 1 to 5000 $\mathrm{ppm})$ with a variable humidity ( $0 \%$ to $90 \%$ ). The sensing properties of $\mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}$ sensors were tested by measuring the sensor resistance for 5 min under $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ diluted in dry air and in humid air with a standard Relative Humidity (RH) value of $50 \%$. The sensors were operated at several temperatures from $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a hotplate. A constant total flow was maintained at 500 Standard Cubic Centimeters per Minute (SCCM) via mass flow controllers.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The XRD diffractogram of $\mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}$ thick film (Figure 2) shows the presence of $\mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}$ nanocrystals in the tetragonal phase of $\mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}$ [3].


Figure 2. $\mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}$ diffractogram using $\lambda=1.54 \AA$ (Philip's X'Pert MRD).
The $\mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}$ sensors for different $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations provide a measurable response depending on the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations in the $100-5000 \mathrm{ppm}$ range and $50 \% \mathrm{RH}$ at various temperatures. The higher response amplitude variations were obtained at $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Figures 3 and 4 show, respectively, the response and the sensitivity of the $\mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}$ sensor under $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in the air with $50 \% \mathrm{RH}$ at $280{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the optimum working temperature. It gives reversible responses to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations between 100 ppm and 5000 ppm .


Figure 3. Resistive responses of $\mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}$ to six $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations with $50 \%$ RH at $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The sensor response is defined in (1) as the ratio between the sensor resistance under $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ exposure and the sensor resistance in the air:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{gas}} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{air}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{R}_{\text {air }}$ is the sensor resistance through humid airflow and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{gas}}$ the sensor resistance in the presence of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

The response time was less than 2 minutes and the recovery time was about 5 minutes. The responses are proportional to the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations, and they restored the original baseline in less than 5 minutes.


Figure 4. Sensitivity response of $\mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}$ to different concentrations of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ with $50 \% \mathrm{RH}$ at $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

These results are in agreement with the recent review on chemiresistive $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas sensors [4].

## IV. Conclusions

This work reported preliminary results on a screen printing $\mathrm{BaTiO}_{3}$ sensor working at an optimum temperature of $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for $50 \% \mathrm{RH}$. Our experiments showed stable baseline responses with fast response/recovery times towards $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. These sensors seem promising for measuring indoor and outdoor air quality and for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ detection. However, after a few weeks, using the same operational conditions, the sensor responses were weakened on the record. New experiments and analyses are in progress to understand this phenomenon.
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