A Novel MILP Model to Solve Killer Samurai Sudoku Puzzles

José B. Fonseca

Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
Faculty of Sciences and Technology, New University of Lisbon
Monte de Caparica, Portugal
e-mail: jbfo@fct.unl.pt

Abstract— A Killer Samurai Sudoku puzzle is a NP-Hard problem and very nonlinear since it implies the comparison of areas or cages sums with their desired values, and humans have a lot of difficulty to solve these puzzles. On the contrary, our mixed integer linear programming (MILP) model, using the Cplex solver, solves easy puzzles in few seconds and hard puzzles in few minutes. We begin to explain why humans have such a great difficulty to solve Killer Samurai Sudoku puzzles, even for low level of difficulty ones, taking into account the cognitive limitations as the very small working memory of 7-8 symbols. Then, we briefly review our previous work where we describe linearization techniques that allow solving any nonlinear problem with a linear MILP model. Next we describe the sets of constraints that define a Killer Sudoku puzzle and the definition of the objective variable and the implementation of the solution of a Killer Samurai Sudoku puzzle as a minimization problem formulated as a MILP model and implemented with the GAMS software. Finally, we present the solutions of a hard Killer Samurai Sudoku puzzles with our MILP model using the Cplex solver.

Keywords-intelligence; MILP; puzzles

I. INTRODUCTION

The first problems solved by Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Operations Research (OR) were toy problems, games and more recently puzzles. In the eighties, there were annual tournaments of chess computer programs and Kasparov was even defeated by one of these chess programs. More recently Sudoku appeared in Japan and then Kakuro and Killer Sudoku puzzles that were rapidly disseminated through the rest of the world. More recently arose the Killer Samurai Sudoku puzzles that consist of five Killer Sudoku puzzles with the fifth puzzle overlapping over the remaining four puzzles. As an alternative approach to AI, in this work we formulate the Killer Samurai Sudoku puzzle problem solution as an optimization problem with constraints in the framework of a Mixed Integer Linear Program (MILP) model and then solve it using the Cplex solver with the GAMS software and using the linearization techniques developed in our previous work [1]. A Killer Sudoku puzzle consists of a matrix of dimension 9x9 where each line and column must be a permutation of integers between 1 and 9, each sub-matrix 3x3 must be a permutation of these numbers and there are a set of colour areas or cages that must have a predefined sum. The runtimes of the solution of a black belt Killer Samurai Sudoku puzzle from [2] using our MILP model were very small, just some few seconds.

To our knowledge this is the first proposal to solve a Killer Samurai Sudoku puzzle with a MILP model. Although exists a site to solve Killer Samurai Sudoku puzzles online, we believe that our solution is faster and can be understood by non specialists of computer science. Nevertheless in a previous work [3] we solved Kakuro puzzles with a MILP model and the runtimes showed to be much lower than then the runtimes of previous proposals [4-5].

Next we describe the structure of our paper. In Section 2, we give a brief overview of what mathematical programming is, the MILP models and their implementation with the GAMS software and solution with the Cplex solver. In Section 3, we describe our MILP model giving a detailed presentation of the main sets of constraints and their implementation with the GAMS software. In Section 4, we present the main conclusions and possible evolution of our work.

II. WHAT IS MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMMING? WHAT IS A MILP MODEL?

A mathematical program is a set of inequalities and equalities defined in terms of the model variables, one of them defining the objective variable that must be maximized or minimized. In a linear model all constraints are linear and it cannot be applied any nonlinear operation over a model variable neither exists the product between two model variables. A mixed integer linear program (MILP) is a linear model with integer, binary and continuous variables. In this work we used the GAMS modeling language to formulate the puzzle as an optimization problem and solve it with an algorithm, the Cplex solver. For example the simplified code that implements a MILP model to obtain the maximum and minimum of a given array would be:

```
sets i /1*20/;
parameter a_p(i);
a_p(i)=ord(i)-10;
variable a(i), minimum, maximum, obj;

**CONSTRAINTS**
**set the array elements:
set_a(i).. a(i)=e=a_p(i);
**the minimum is less or equal to all
elements of a(i):
calc_min(i).. minimum =l= a(i);
```

```
**the maximum is greater or equal to all
elements of a(i):
calc_max(i).. maximum =g= a(i);
**to prevent trivial solutions we must
maximize the minimum and minimize the
maximum:
calc_obj.. obj=e= minimum - maximum;
Model MaxMin /all/;
Solve MaxMin using MIP maximizing obj;
display a.l, obj.l, maximum.l,
minimum.l;
```

The constraint *calc_max*(*i*) implements the set of inequalities (1).

$$\forall i, maximum \geq a(i) \qquad (1)$$

The output of this small MILP model using the Cplex solver looks like the following:

```
GAMS Rev 229  WIN-VIS 22.9.2 x86/MS Windows
03/09/16 17:01:32 Page 6
G e n e r a l  A l g e b r a i c  M o d e l i n g  S y s t e m
E x e c u t i o n


----    26 VARIABLE a.L


1 -9.000,   2 -8.000,   3 -7.000,   4 -6.000,   5 -5.000,
6 -4.000 7 -3.000,   8 -2.000,   9 -1.000,   11 1.000,
12 2.000,   13 3.000 14 4.000,   15 5.000,   16 6.000,
17 7.000,   18 8.000,   19 9.000 20 10.000


----    26 VARIABLE obj.L          =     -19.000
        VARIABLE maximum.L         =      10.000
        VARIABLE minimum.L         =      -9.000
```

III. DESCRIPTION OF OUR MILP MODEL TO SOLVE KILLER SAMURAI SUDOKU PUZZLES

The main element of our Killer Samurai Sudoku MILP model is an indexed binary variable with three indexes that defines the 9x9 matrix which must be filled with integer numbers between 1..9. The first and second indexes represent the line and column of the matrix element, respectively, and the third index represents the value of the matrix element, i.e., there is only one value of the third index for which the binary variable is one and all the remaining are zero for a given line and column. This way the order of the last index of this indexed binary variable is translated into the value of the Killer Samurai Sudoku matrix element. The use of this indexed binary variable is the main idea to linearize this so nonlinear problem. With this approach the constraints, like the *all different* constraints, are very elegant and simple and the runtimes are very small.

First we must impose that each matrix element has only one value, which seems obvious but must be declared since

the value of the matrix element is expressed by the order of the third index of the indexed binary variable $a\_bin(l,c,v)$, l and c, being the line and column of the matrix element and the order of index v its value. This condition is expressed by (2).

$$\forall l, c, \sum_v a\_bin(l, c, v) = 1 \qquad (2)$$

The set of constraints (2) can be implemented with GAMS syntax as:

only_one(*l*,*c*)**.. sum**(*v*, *a_bin*(*l*,*c*,*v*))**=1**=1;

Next, we must impose that there are no repetitions in each line *l*, the *all different constraint*, i.e., for each pair of values (*l*,*v*) summing the binary indexed variable $a\_bin(l,c,v)$ over all columns *c*, this sum must be equal to 1, since in a Killer Samurai Sudoku puzzle each line is a permutation of integer numbers between 1 and 9. This set of logical conditions or constraints is expressed by (3).

$$\forall l, v, \sum_c a\_bin(l, c, v) = 1 \qquad (3)$$

The set of constraints (3) can be implemented with GAMS syntax as:

all_different_line(*l*,*v*)**.. sum**(*c*, *a_bin*(*l*,*c*,*v*))**=e**=1;

In other words (3) ensures that each line is a permutation of integers between 1 and 9. And there must not exist repetitions in each column, which is expressed by the similar set of logical conditions or constraints (4), the *all different* constraint for each column *c*.

$$\forall c, v, \sum_l a\_bin(l, c, v) = 1 \qquad (4)$$

The set of constraints (4) can be implemented with GAMS syntax as:

all_different_column(*c*,*v*)**..**
sum(*l*, *a_bin*(*l*, *a_bin*(*l*,*c*,*v*))**=e**=1;

Next we impose that each sub-matrix 3x3 must be a permutation of integers between 1 and 9. To express this set of logical conditions we created an auxiliary indexed parameter, *square*(l_1,c_1,l,c) which is initialized by (5).

$$\forall l_1, c_1, l, c, square(l_1, c_1, l, c,) = \left(l \geq ((l_1 - 1)Order + 1)\right)\left(l \leq ((l_1 - 1)Order) + Order\right)(c \geq (c_1 - 1)Order + Order)) \qquad (5)$$

In (5) the multiplication of inequalities must be interpreted as the logical AND of the logical values of the inequalities. The scalar *Order* defines the dimension of the Killer Sudoku puzzle sub-matrix and for the classical Killer Sudoku puzzles *Order*=3. Then the set of logical conditions

or constraints that impose that each sub-matrix *Order* x *Order* must have no repetitions is expressed by (6).

$$\forall l_1, c_1, v_1, \sum_{(l,c):square(l_1,c_1,l,c)=1} a\_bin(l,c,v_1) = 1 \quad (6)$$

The set of equations (6) can be written using GAMS syntax as:

all_different_square(*l*1,*c*1,*v*1)**..**
sum((*l*,*c*)**\$**(square(*l*1,*c*1,*l*,*c*)), *a_bin*(*l*,*c*,*v*1))**=e**=1;

The dollar operator has the meaning of restriction to the values for which the expression next to **\$** is true. Then we impose that each colour segment must has a predefined sum saved in an auxiliary indexed parameter *sum_colour*(*col*). Each colour segment is defined by a logical auxiliary indexed parameter *colour_bin*(*col*,*l*,*c*) which has the value 1 when the Killer Sudoku element (*l*,*c*) belongs to the colour segment of order *col*. This set of conditions or constraints is expressed by (7).

$$\forall col, \sum_{v,(l,c):colour\_bin(col,l,c)=1} \begin{array}{c} v \, a\_bin(l,c,v) = \\ sum\_colour(col) \end{array} \quad (7)$$

The set of equatons (7) can be written using GAMS syntax as:

sum_colour_segment(*col*)**..**
sum((*l*,*c*,*v*1)**\$**(*colour_bin*(*col*,*l*,*c*)),**ord**(*v*)**a_bin(*l*,*c*,*v*1))**=e**= *sum_colour*(*col*);

Finally to prevent trivial solutions with all values of *a_bin*(*i*,*j*,*v*)=1 we must minimize the objective variable defined as the number of matrix elements, which is expressed by (8).

$$obj = \sum_{i,j,v} a\_bin(i,j,v) \quad (8)$$

Equation (8) can be implemented in GAMS code as:

calc_obj.. *obj*=**e**=**sum**((*i*,*j*,*v*), *a_bin*(*i*,*j*,*v*));

In Figure 1, we show a hard Killer Samurai Sudoku puzzle taken from [2] that we solved with our MILP model showed in appendix A in just few seconds in a PC with 2GHz clock and in appendix B we show the output of the GAMS software code that corresponds to the solution of the puzzle. Note that in this hard Killer Samurai Sudoku puzzle, each different area of matrix elements whose sum must be equal to the number printed in the region. Moreover this puzzle has two regions with four elements which contribute to the combinatorial explosion in the ways the matrix elements may be filled.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

We showed that our MILP model to solve Killer Samurai Sudoku puzzles is very efficient and elegant. In a near future, we plan to expand our MILP model to solve variants of Killer Sudoku like Killer Sudoku Greater Than and then adapt them to develop a MILP model to make production planning based on a MILP model and the Cplex solver.

Figure 1. Killer Sudoku puzzle solved by our MILP model.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Barahona da Fonseca, "Solving any nonlinear problem with a MILP model," Proceedings of Escape-19 Conference, pp.647–652, 2009.

[2] D. J.. Ape, .Killer Sudoku and other puzzle variants, Createspace, 2010.

[3] J. Barahona da Fonseca, "A novel linear MILP model to solve Kakuro puzzles," Proceedings of Controlo 2012 Conference, pp. 185-190, 2012.

[4] R. P. Davies, An investigation into the solution to, and evaluation of, Kakuro puzzles, MSc thesis, 2009.

[5] H. Simonis,, "Kakuro as a constraint problem," Proceedings of Modref Conference, pp. 201-216, 2008.

APPENDIX A

In the following GAMS code the names of constraints always finish with "**..**".

```
sets l /1*21/;

alias(c,  l);

set v1 /1*9/;
alias(v, v1);

set c1 /1*3/;
```

```
alias(l1, c1);

set col /1*129/;
*121-

*positive variable a(l,c);

binary variable a_bin(l,c, v1);


scalar Order /3/;

Parameter square(l1,c1,l,c), square2(l1,c1,l,c),
square3(l1,c1,l,c), square4(l1,c1,l,c),
square5(l1,c1,l,c), cor_bin(col, l, c),
soma_cor(col);


square(l1,c1,l,c)=(ord(l) ge ((ord(l1)-1)*Order+1)
)*(ord(l) le ((ord(l1)-1)*Order+Order))
*(ord(c) ge ((ord(c1)-1)*Order+1))*(ord(c) le
((ord(c1)-1)*Order+Order));

square2(l1,c1,l,c)=(ord(l) ge ((ord(l1)-
1)*Order+1) )*(ord(l) le ((ord(l1)-
1)*Order+Order))
*(ord(c) ge (12+(ord(c1)-1)*Order+1))*(ord(c) le
(12+(ord(c1)-1)*Order+Order));

square3(l1,c1,l,c)=(ord(l) ge (12+(ord(l1)-
1)*Order+1) )*(ord(l) le (12+(ord(l1)-1)
*Order+Order))
*(ord(c) ge ((ord(c1)-1)*Order+1))*(ord(c) le
((ord(c1)-1)*Order+Order));

square4(l1,c1,l,c)=(ord(l) ge (12+(ord(l1)-
1)*Order+1) )*(ord(l) le (12+(ord(l1)-1)*
Order+Order))
*(ord(c) ge (12+(ord(c1)-1)*Order+1))*(ord(c) le
(12+(ord(c1)-1)*Order+Order));

square5(l1,c1,l,c)=(ord(l) ge (6+(ord(l1)-
1)*Order+1) )*(ord(l) le (6+(ord(l1)-1)
*Order+Order))
*(ord(c) ge (6+(ord(c1)-1)*Order+1))*(ord(c) le
(6+(ord(c1)-1)*Order+Order));

*Next we define the cages of the first puzzle
cor_bin(col, l, c)=0;
soma_cor(col)=0;

cor_bin('1', '1', '1')=1;
cor_bin('1', '2', '1')=1;


soma_cor('1')=6;

*************************************

cor_bin('2', '1', '2')=1;
cor_bin('2', '2', '2')=1;
cor_bin('2', '3', '2')=1;
cor_bin('2', '3', '1')=1;

soma_cor('2')=23;

*************************************

cor_bin('3', '1', '3')=1;
cor_bin('3', '1', '4')=1;
```

```
soma_cor('3')=13;

*************************************

cor_bin('4', '1', '5')=1;
cor_bin('4', '1', '6')=1;


soma_cor('4')= 17;

*************************************

cor_bin('5', '1', '7')=1;
cor_bin('5', '1', '8')=1;
cor_bin('5', '1', '9')=1;

soma_cor('5')=8;

*************************************

cor_bin('6', '2', '3')=1;
cor_bin('6', '2', '4')=1;
cor_bin('6', '2', '5')=1;
cor_bin('6', '2', '6')=1;

soma_cor('6')=15;
* snip! some instructions omitted

variable obj;

* CONSTRAINTS:
*only_one(l,c).. sum(v1, a_bin(l,c,v1))=e=1;

only_one(l,c)$( (ord(l) ge 1) * (ord(l) le 9) *
(ord(c) le 9) * (ord(c) ge 1) )..
 sum(v1, a_bin(l,c,v1))=e=1;
only_one2(l,c)$( (ord(l) ge 1) * (ord(l) le 9) *
(ord(c) le 21) * (ord(c) ge 13) ) ..
 sum(v1, a_bin(l,c,v1))=e=1;

only_one3(l,c)$( (ord(l) ge 7) * (ord(l) le 15) *
(ord(c) le 15) * (ord(c) ge 7) )..
 sum(v1, a_bin(l,c,v1))=e=1;

only_one4(l,c)$( (ord(l) ge 13) * (ord(l) le 21) *
(ord(c) le 9) * (ord(c) ge 1) )..
 sum(v1, a_bin(l,c,v1))=e=1;

only_one5(l,c)$( (ord(l) ge 13) * (ord(l) le 21) *
(ord(c) le 21) * (ord(c) ge 13) )..
 sum(v1, a_bin(l,c,v1))=e=1;


all_different_line(l,v1)$( ord(l) le 9)..
sum(c$(ord(c) le 9), a_bin(l,c,v1))=e=1;
all_different_line2(l,v1)$( ord(l) le 9)..
 sum(c$( (ord(c) le 21) * (ord(c) ge 13) ),
a_bin(l,c,v1))=e=1;

all_different_line3(l,v1)$( (ord(l) le 15) *
(ord(l) ge 7) )..
 sum(c$( (ord(c) le 15) * (ord(c) ge 7) ),
a_bin(l,c,v1))=e=1;

all_different_line4(l,v1)$( (ord(l) le 21) *
(ord(l) ge 13) )..
 sum(c$( (ord(c) le 9) * (ord(c) ge 1) ),
a_bin(l,c,v1))=e=1;
```

```
all_different_line5(l,v1)$( (ord(l) le 21) *
(ord(l) ge 13) )..
 sum(c$( (ord(c) le 21) * (ord(c) ge 13) ),
a_bin(l,c,v1))=e=1;

all_different_column(c,v1)$(ord(c) le 9)..
sum((l)$(ord(l) le 9), a_bin(l,c,v1))=e=1;
all_different_column2(c,v1)$( (ord(c) le 21) *
(ord(c) ge 13) )..
 sum((l)$( ord(l) le 9 ), a_bin(l,c,v1))=e=1;

all_different_column3(c,v1)$( (ord(c) le 15) *
(ord(c) ge 7)  )..
 sum((l)$( (ord(l) le 15) * (ord(l) ge 7) ),
a_bin(l,c,v1))=e=1;

all_different_column4(c,v1)$( (ord(c) le 9) *
(ord(c) ge 1) )..
 sum((l)$( (ord(l) le 21) * (ord(l) ge 13) ),
a_bin(l,c,v1))=e=1;

all_different_column5(c,v1)$( (ord(c) le 21) *
(ord(c) ge 13) )..
 sum((l)$( (ord(l) le 21) * (ord(l) ge 13) ),
a_bin(l,c,v1))=e=1;

zero_elements(l,c,v)$( (ord(l) le 6)* (ord(l) ge
1) * (ord(c) ge 10) * (ord(c) le 12) +
(ord(l) ge 16) * (ord(l) le 21) * (ord(c) ge 10)
*(ord(c) le 12) + (ord(l) ge 10) *
 (ord(l) le 12) * (ord(c) le 6)+
(ord(l) ge 10) * (ord(l) le 12) * (ord(c) ge 16) *
(ord(c) le 21) ).. a_bin(l,c,v)=e=0;

all_different_square(l1,c1,v1)..
 sum((l,c)$(
 square(l1,c1,l,c) *(ord(l) ge 1) * (ord(l) le
9)*(ord(c) le 9)*(ord(c) ge 1) ),
  a_bin(l,c,v1)) =e= 1;

 all_different_square2(l1,c1,v1)..
 sum((l,c)$(
 square2(l1,c1,l,c) *(ord(l) ge 1) * (ord(l) le
9)*(ord(c) le 21)*(ord(c) ge 13) ),
 a_bin(l,c,v1)) =e= 1;

all_different_square3(l1,c1,v1)..
 sum((l,c)$(
 square3(l1,c1,l,c) *(ord(l) ge 13) * (ord(l) le
21)*(ord(c) le 9)*(ord(c) ge 1) ),
 a_bin(l,c,v1)) =e= 1;

all_different_square4(l1,c1,v1)..
 sum((l,c)$(
 square4(l1,c1,l,c) *(ord(l) ge 13) * (ord(l) le
21)*(ord(c) le 21)*(ord(c) ge 13) ),
 a_bin(l,c,v1)) =e= 1;

all_different_square5(l1,c1,v1)..
 sum((l,c)$(
 square5(l1,c1,l,c) *(ord(l) ge 7) * (ord(l) le
15)*(ord(c) le 15)*(ord(c) ge 7) ),
 a_bin(l,c,v1)) =e= 1;

sum_color_segment(col)..
 sum((l,c,v1)$(cor_bin(col,l,c)=1),
ord(v1)*a_bin(l,c,v1)) =e=soma_cor(col);

calc_obj.. obj=e=sum((l,c,v1), a_bin(l,c,v1));

model KillerSamuraiSudoku /all/;
```

```
option IterLim=1000000000;
option ResLim=1000000000;

option optcr=0;
option optca=0;

solve KillerSamuraiSudoku using MIP minimizing
obj;

display  a_bin.l, obj.l;
```

APPENDIX B

Next we show the output of the Cplex solver that results from a run of the GAMS model that corresponds to the solution of the puzzle presented in figure 1.

```
----     910 VARIABLE a_bin.L

                  1          2          3          4          5          6
1 .1                     1.000
1 .2                                                      1.000
1 .3                                                                 1.000
1 .7                                1.000
1 .8        1.000
1 .9                                           1.000
1 .13                    1.000
1 .14                               1.000
1 .15                                                     1.000
1 .16                                                                1.000
1 .18                                           1.000
1 .21       1.000
2 .1                                            1.000
2 .4                                1.000
2 .5        1.000
2 .6                     1.000
2 .7                                                                 1.000
2 .9                                                      1.000
2 .14                                           1.000
2 .16                    1.000
2 .17       1.000
2 .19                               1.000
2 .20                                                     1.000
2 .21                                                                1.000
3 .1                                1.000
3 .3        1.000
3 .4                                            1.000
3 .5                                                      1.000
3 .6                                                                 1.000
3 .9                     1.000
3 .14                                                                1.000
3 .15       1.000
3 .16                               1.000
3 .17                                           1.000
3 .19                    1.000
3 .20                                1.000
4 .2                                1.000
4 .3                                            1.000
4 .5                                                                 1.000
4 .7        1.000
4 .8                     1.000
4 .9                                1.000
4 .13                                           1.000
4 .14                    1.000
4 .15                                1.000
4 .18       1.000
4 .19                                1.000
4 .20                                                                1.000
5 .1                                                                 1.000
5 .3                                1.000
5 .4        1.000
5 .5                     1.000
5 .7                                                      1.000
5 .8                                            1.000
5 .13                                                                1.000
5 .15                                1.000
5 .16                                                     1.000
5 .18                    1.000
5 .19       1.000
5 .21                               1.000
6 .1        1.000
6 .2                     1.000
6 .4                                                      1.000
6 .5                                1.000
6 .6                                            1.000
6 .8                                                                 1.000
6 .13       1.000
6 .16                                1.000
6 .17                                                                1.000
6 .18                     1.000
6 .20                    1.000
6 .21                                                     1.000
7 .2                                                                 1.000
7 .3                                1.000
7 .6                                                      1.000
7 .7                     1.000
```

```
7 .8                    1.000                                9 .4                                              1.000
7 .9    1.000                                                9 .5                                     1.000
7 .10                            1.000                       9 .6                            1.000
7 .11                      1.000                             9 .10                           1.000
7 .12                                    1.000              9 .11                   1.000
7 .16   1.000                                                9 .12   1.000
7 .17          1.000                                         9 .13                            1.000
7 .18                            1.000                       9 .14                                     1.000
7 .19                                    1.000              9 .15                                              1.000
7 .20                    1.000                               9 .17                           1.000
7 .21                      1.000                             9 .20   1.000
8 .2                     1.000                               9 .21           1.000
8 .4           1.000                                         10.7                                              1.000
8 .6    1.000                                                10.8                            1.000
8 .7                      1.000                              10.9                    1.000
8 .8                            1.000                        10.12                                    1.000
8 .9                                    1.000               10.13           1.000
8 .13                    1.000                               10.15   1.000
8 .14   1.000                                                11.8    1.000
8 .15          1.000                                         11.10                           1.000
8 .17                      1.000                             11.11                                             1.000
8 .18                            1.000                       11.12           1.000
8 .19                            1.000                       11.13                                    1.000
9 .1                             1.000                       11.14                   1.00
9 .2    1.000                                                **snip! some lines omitted
9 .3           1.000
```