# A Study on Information System for Science of Science and Technology Policy

Seung Su Chun Division of Information & Knowledge Korea Institute of S&T Evaluation and Planning Seoul, South Korea dabins@kistep.re.kr

*Abstract*—As social, economic, and cultural environments have rapidly been changing, uncertainties and complexities have also increased with regard to research and development projects. Issues are across various fields and interconnected with different problems, which makes it harder to plan and design R&D projects and to estimate their effects as well. Linear and sequential approaches are not appropriate to deal with these changes. Rather, circular and simultaneous perspectives with more precision and rigor are needed. To this end, more information should be gathered and all parties concerned should be required to cooperate. It becomes essential to establish a science's evidence based and integrated system supported by all parties concerned for high quality and effective policy making.

### Keywords-Technology Policy, Decision Making System, Knowledge, R&D management

### I. SCIENCE OF SCIENCE POLICY

Some of the advanced countries have recognized challenges and started to run a variety of programs such as science of Science and Innovation Policy to create the 3rd generation innovation systems for science and technology. The concept and term of Science of Science and Innovation Policy was first brought up and coined by Dr. Marburger of American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS) in 2005. This was based on the recognition that it is indispensable to understand complex relationships between R&D investments and innovation together with their consequent improvements of competitiveness and social benefits for policy effectiveness [1].

Among governing bodies attempting to make policy decisions scientific are Science of Science and Innovation Policy(SciSIP) of the US and European Innovation Scoreboard(EIS) and European Research Area WATCH of EU. Value-based technology innovation such as 'knowledge intensification and creative design' becomes more important in securing competitiveness of businesses and nations. All these factors increase the needs for IT infrastructure and indepth studying of intellectual business system which support policy decision making based on scientific evidence [2].

However, the linear approaches to technological innovation model and policy supporting instruments so far

have clear limitations to effectively deal with these technical changes and complex issues.

### II. DESIGN OF DECISION MAKING SUPPORT SYSTEM

Current researches has stemmed from systems dynamics based on systems theory and feedback thinking, and evolved into cybernetics and automatic control mechanism. Interdisciplinary researches on new models of knowledgebased dynamics and policy aid have been required more than ever as high-technologies have converged and policy management system has changed [3]. In this paper, we propose mechanisms to achieve the service provision of Science of Science Policy. For this purpose, current processes are analyzed to identify factors, which need to be improved and analytical methodologies are also defined [4]. Then, major components are developed to effectively support the processes according to each methodology [5]. Evidence-based knowledge is utilized in the activities of policy adoption, implementation, and evaluation including decision-making [6]. When designing specific integration models, each Situation(S) is represented by unit of Topic(T), which equals Term(T) used in natural language. The integration model conducts text-mining by processing all documents and texts within the system into natural language, resulting in abstracting representative terms. This generates a knowledge model through analysis of relations between topics and layered structures of resources. Related to processes and tasks of the knowledge model, an Address of document repository is defined as a process and Task is defined as a name of the specific document directory.

The model which generates knowledge models automatically is defined as below:

Policy Making Supporting Model : M = {Process, Task, Resource}

Process :  $P = Document Repository \{Address_1, ... Address_n\}$ 

Task : T = Document Directory {Name<sub>1</sub>, ... Name<sub>n</sub>}

Resource Element : Topic :  $E = Mining \{Term_1, ... Term_n\}$ A component of a process is defined as task flow and relation and is designed so as to combine an integrated information system and service. With regard to collection of policy information, information has been collected on the basis of a component supporting tasks. Knowledge base integration of policy information has progressed through structuration of task-specific data and information as shown in Table I.

 TABLE I.
 CONFIGURATION OF SYSTEM

Process	Components	
Technology Policy	-Integrated information retrieval and	
	Management	
	-Technology monitoring / Trend analysis on a	
	real time basis	
	-Combined wire-wireless service	
	-Community for future prediction	
Forecasting	-2D matrix Delphi survey	
	-Visualization of Roadmap	
Dlanning	-Medium and long-term plans, database on	
Planning	policy trends, other data query	
Investment strategy	-DB for investment planning on a micro level	
	and process supporting	
	-Project analysis and management	
	-Links to information on R&D programs	
Validity	-Benefit analysis of R&D	
analysis	-Instruments for ripple effect analysis	
anarysis	-Instruments for cost/paper analysis	
Investigation	gation -Unified search function for statistical indices	
analysis	-DB of statistical tables and graphs	
	-Program track records / Budget planning	
Program	supporting	
coordination	-Budget requests, DB of mid-term project plans	
	and deliberation materials	
Program	-Query for in-depth evaluation results	
evaluation	-Expert committee management	

#### III. SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT

Specific policy processes and task connection models are needed for effective service structure, and integrated process maps should be designed to address components and information such as for example data and documents. The service of Science of Science Policy aims to improve the efficiency and reliability of producing policy alternatives. It is very important that while utilizing massive data and sharing instruments, we analyze various functions. Therefore, a component-based development method is appropriate and it should be comprised of unitary system for organic combination of the services. For example, various reference information is needed on fields, stages, and targets to be used both as a forecasting basis and for validity analysis. However, a particular expert or outdated data have been the only materials that policy community has depended on. Improved science policy support systems cause improvements in the effective activities of the proportion of researchers with the report, as shown in Table 2. Thus, the new service for technology analysis helps produce more

objective and timely materials of technology value analysis and validity analysis through information on technology trends and massive database analysis on publication, patents, and market as shown in Table 3. For this reason, the database we reference provides 2.68 million data on publication, patents, and market and analysis of 0.34 million items of documents on policy trends.

TABLE II. RELATED DATA OF INNOVATION POLICY

Activity	Current	Improved	Change
Ration of searchers	28.8%	48%	+19.2%
researchers n tasks	32	55	+71%
improvement	2	7	+250%
Num. of reports	85	102	+20%
R&D projects	1.5	2.2	+46%
per researcher	0.2	0.3	+50%

TABLE III. RELATI

RELATED DATA OF INNOVATION POLICY

Patents	Market	Statistics	Total
2,608,385	2,151	129	2,681,546
Knowledge	Prediction	Validity	Evaluation
analysis	experts	experts	experts
3,242	1,030	298	2,425

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

This study has established an integrated knowledge base of policy support for the service of Science of Science Policy by standardizing and specifying processes. This approach has required organizing and comprising the specific functions on a basis of component units according to demand from stakeholders such as major information demanders, its users request patterns and policy decision makers. In turn, these works have made it possible to create the service of Science Policy which can control major policies on a realtime basis by catching and tracking policies' current states in the processes of adopting, implementing, evaluation and thus support evaluation and coordination of them based on objective data.

## REFERENCES

- [1] OECD/GD102, "Knowledge based Economy", organization for economic co-operation and development, OECD, 1996
- [2] E. Feigenbaum, P. M. Corduck, "Integrating knowledge into computer systems", Second citation, wikipedia.org, 2010
- [3] V. Devedzic, "Knowledge Modeling State of the art", Integrated CAE, vol. 8, pp. 257-281, 2001
- [4] P. Braunerhjelm, "An Innovation Policy Framework: Bridging the gap between industrial dynamics and growth", EPSE, Springer, Vol. 34, pp 95-130, 2016
- [5] John E. Hanke, Dean W. Wichern, "Business Forecasting", Pearson Education Press, Eighth Edition, pp.57, 2005
- [6] Robert J. Thierauf, "Optimal Knowledge Management", IDEA Group Publishing, pp.89, 2006